



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/709,540 | 05/12/2004 | Kenneth A. Stanzel | ITW7510.060 | 3539 |

33647 7590 08/20/2007
ZIOLOKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ITW)
136 S WISCONSIN ST
PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074

EXAMINER

KERNS, KEVIN P

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1725

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

08/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Application No. 10/709,540 | Applicant(s) STANZEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kevin P. Kerns | Art Unit 1725 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 53-56.
Claim(s) objected to: 45-48.
Claim(s) rejected: 39-44 and 49-52.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☐ Other: _____.

Kevin P. Kerns *Kevin Kerns* 8/19/07
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1725

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants' remarks/arguments on pages 5-8 of the after final response remain unpersuasive for the same reasons set forth in sections 1, 4, 5, and 8 of the final rejection mailed June 11, 2007. Furthermore, in reference to the additional arguments provided in the after final response, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' assessment of the examiner's broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language regarding the limitations of independent claims 49 and 51. First, DE '999.3 discloses the features of claims 49-52 (in reference to the applicants' remarks on pages 6-8), as DE '999.3 includes the applicants' broad limitation "upon connection" (also see sections 1 and 8 of the final rejection, which sets forth this limitation as broadly interpreted). As a result, the 35 USC 102(b) rejections in view of DE '999.3 are proper. Second, the newly applied reference (Gartland) from section 4 of the final rejection is properly combined with DE '999.3 under 35 USC 103(a), as Gartland discloses the adapter 31 and gas cylinder arrangement 20 (see Figures 1 and 2) of claims 39-42 (in reference to the applicants' remarks on pages 5 and 6), such that these additional features taught by Gartland are advantageous for providing a torch of a welding device with an elevated gas flow rate (see Gartland; abstract; and column 3, lines 32-41). As a result, claims 39-44 and 49-52 remain rejected.

KEVIN KERNS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kevin Kerns 8/18/07